

| | | |
|---|------------------------|---------------------|
| Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary | Application No. | Applicant(s) |
| | 10/725,158 | EL-SHALL ET AL. |
| | Examiner | Art Unit |
| | Peter A. Hruskoci | 1724 |

All Participants:

(1) Peter A. Hruskoci.

Status of Application: _____

(3) _____.

(2) Neil R. Jetter.

(4) _____.

Date of Interview: 21 September 2004

Time: _____

Type of Interview:

- Telephonic
 Video Conference
 Personal (Copy given to: Applicant Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: Yes No

If Yes, provide a brief description:

Part I.

Rejection(s) discussed:

Prior Art Rejection as stated in the prior Office action dated 8/13/04

Claims discussed:

All

Prior art documents discussed:

Prior Art of Record

Part II.

SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:

It was agreed to amend the claims and to cancel claims 8, 13, and 17 to patentably distinguish over the prior art of record in view of pages 7 and 8 of the specification and the Cooper Declaration.

Part III.

- It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.
 It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.

(Examiner/SPE Signature)

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)